

Effect of Artificial Vagina Lubricants on Stallion Sperm Quality

R Serafini, S Ghosh, CC Love, JMR Medrano, SR Teague, KA LaCaze & DD Varner
Large Animal Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences,
Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

Introduction

Commercially available lubricants, labeled as non-spermicidal, are used to lubricate artificial vaginas prior to semen collection in stallions (Figure 1).

Improper type or amount of lubricant may affect stallion sperm quality, either after short exposure time or following cooled storage of extended semen.

Experimental Aim

Evaluate the effects of different commercial lubricants on an assortment of measures of sperm quality in stallions following:

- 1) Short-term exposure - 1 h (T1h) or
- 2) Long-term exposure - 24 h (T24h) of cooled storage.

Materials and Methods

Three ejaculates were collected from each of four stallions for the study using a Missouri model artificial vagina (AV, Nasco, Ft. Atkinson, WI, USA), which was lubricated with water-insoluble petroleum jelly (Vaseline™).

Prior to semen collection, semen extender (EquiPRO® Coolguard®) was aliquoted into capped tubes, with extender containing no lubricant (Control), or 1% or 5% (w/v) of each of the following lubricants:

- HR® Lubricating Jelly (HR1, HR5; HR Pharmaceuticals Inc., York, PA, USA);
- K-Y™ Jelly (KY1, KY5; Johnson and Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ, USA);
- Therio-gel® (TG1, TG5; Agtech Inc., Manhattan, KS, USA);
- Priority Care® (PC1, PC5; First Priority Inc., Elgin, IL, USA); and
- Clarity® (CL1, CL5; Aurora Pharmaceutical, LLC, Northfield, MN, USA).

Each tube was then placed on a mixer plate at 37 °C and gently inverted for 2 h prior to semen collection.

Gel-free semen (containing 30 x 10⁶ sperm/mL) was added to each tube, then samples were rotated gently at 37 °C for 1 h. Each treatment was then divided in two aliquots. One aliquot was subjected to immediate analysis (T1h), and one aliquot was placed in an Equitainer (Equitainer II™, Hamilton Research, Inc., South Hamilton, MA, USA) and evaluated after 24 h of cooled storage (T24h). Experimental endpoints were:

- Percent total sperm motility (TMOT);
- Percent viable acrosome intact sperm (VAI);
- Percent of sperm with abnormal DNA (COMP-α);
- Percent viable lipid peroxidation negative sperm (VLPN); and
- Percent of sperm with no or minimal DNA oxidative injury [8OHdG(-)]

Samples evaluated for abnormal DNA, lipid peroxidation and DNA oxidative injury were exposed to acid (HCl), ultraviolet light, or iron sulfate/hydrogen peroxide, respectively, as test perturbations.

Statistical Analysis

Data were subjected to rank transformation, then analyzed using an ANOVA procedure within time (T1h, T24h). Means were compared using the Tukey's studentized range test. Significance was set at P < 0.05.

Conclusions

Exposure of sperm to KY was detrimental to all sperm quality measures, except for 8OHdG. This may be due to the high non-viable sperm population that would not respond to the perturbation.

In general, exposure to 5% KY, PC, or TG lubricants yielded lower sperm quality, and the effect was most profound in KY. Most sperm quality measures were unaffected by different concentrations (1 or 5%) of HR and CL lubricants with values similar to control. Lubricant TG tended to yield lower values for sperm lipid peroxidation; however, TG increased sperm susceptibility to oxidative injury.

This study highlights the importance of using caution when selecting an artificial vagina lubricant for semen collection from stallions, even if lubricants are marketed as being safe for this purpose. Lubricants CL yielded high values for all 5 endpoints, whereas HR yielded high values for 4 endpoints; therefore, these lubricants might be the safest among those tested for collection of stallion semen.

Acknowledgments

The study was funded by the Legends Premier Stallion Season Auction Fund, Texas A&M University.



Figure 1: Three commercially available artificial vagina lubricants used prior to semen collection in stallions.

Results*

	T1h:		T24h:	
	LUBRICANT	MEAN	LUBRICANT	MEAN
TMOT	HR1	70	CL1	60
	CONTROL	70	HR1	60
	CL1	70	TG1	60
	TG1	68	CL5	58
	HR5	68	CONTROL	59
	CL5	68	PC1	57
	KY1	67	HR5	56
	PC1	66	KY1	54
	TG5	56	TG5	53
	PC5	55	PC5	49
KY5	55	KY5	15	
VAI	HR1	72	HR1	71
	CL1	71	CONTROL	70
	TG5	70	TG1	70
	HR5	70	CL1	70
	TG1	70	PC1	70
	CL5	70	TG5	69
	CONTROL	69	HR5	69
	PC1	68	CL5	68
	PC5	65	PC5	66
	KY1	63	KY1	62
KY5	46	KY5	40	
COMP-α	KY5	28	KY5	42
	KY1	25	KY1	31
	HR5	25	HR5	28
	TG5	25	PC5	29
	CONTROL	24	TG1	27
	TG1	24	TG5	27
	CL1	23	PC1	28
	PC1	24	CONTROL	27
	CL5	23	CL5	26
	PC5	24	CL1	26
HR1	22	HR1	25	
VLPN	TG5	20	CL1	16
	CL1	21	TG5	14
	TG1	15	TG1	14
	CONTROL	10	CL5	9
	KY1	14	PC1	9
	CL5	13	KY1	6
	PC5	7	CONTROL	7
	HR5	10	PC5	5
	PC1	8	HR5	7
	KY5	4	HR1	5
HR1	7	KY5	1	
8OHdG (-)	CL1	55	KY1	60
	KY5	52	KY5	56
	KY1	49	HR5	52
	HR1	48	CL1	51
	CONTROL	47	HR1	48
	CL5	47	CL5	45
	HR5	42	CONTROL	41
	PC5	32	TG1	31
	TG1	23	TG5	24
	PC1	18	PC1	22
TG5	16	PC5	22	

*In each table, means with different colored bar differ (P < 0.05). A treatment-by-stallion interaction was detected for TMOT and COMP-α (P < 0.05). At T1h, TMOT was lower in KY5, PC5, and TG5 than in all other treatments in 3 of 4 stallions (P < 0.05). At T24h, TMOT was lower in KY5 than in all other treatments in 3 of 4 stallions (P < 0.05). At T1h, there was no effect of treatment on COMP-α for all stallions (P > 0.05). At T24h, COMP-α was higher in KY5 than in all other treatments in 2 of 4 stallions (P < 0.05).